

|                       |              |                              |            |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Case Number:</b>   | CM15-0109280 |                              |            |
| <b>Date Assigned:</b> | 06/26/2015   | <b>Date of Injury:</b>       | 03/23/2006 |
| <b>Decision Date:</b> | 08/25/2015   | <b>UR Denial Date:</b>       | 06/02/2015 |
| <b>Priority:</b>      | Standard     | <b>Application Received:</b> | 06/05/2015 |

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 03/23/2006. Current diagnoses were not included. Previous treatments included medications, bilateral knee arthroplasty, physical therapy, and home exercise program. Report dated 05/13/2015 noted that the injured worker presented with complaints that included soreness and swelling in the right knee, improving. Pain level was not included. Physical examination was not included. The physician noted that the injured worker is improving, but slower than expected. The treatment plan included continuation with home exercise, straight leg isometric, and walking. Disputed treatments include Tramadol.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Tramadol 50 mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Criteria for the use of opioids, Opioids-long-term assessment, Opioids specific drug list-Tramadol (Ultram) Page(s): 76-81, 94, and 113. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

**Decision rationale:** According to the California MTUS, Tramadol (Ultram) is a synthetic opioid which affects the central nervous system and is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. Per CA MTUS Guidelines, certain criteria need to be followed, including an ongoing review and documentation of pain relief and functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain: last reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. The MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. There is no documentation of significant pain relief or increased function from the opioids used to date. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.