

Case Number:	CM15-0108830		
Date Assigned:	06/15/2015	Date of Injury:	01/03/2011
Decision Date:	07/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/05/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 63 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 1/3/11. The injured worker has complaints of low back pain. The documentation noted that lumbar spine examination revealed range of motion for flexion and extension were decreased. The diagnoses have included lumbar facet arthropathy and bilateral sacroiliac joint pain. Treatment to date has included tramadol and gabapentin. The request was for ibuprofen 800mg with 4 refills; gabapentin 600mg with 4 refills; diclofenac 100mg with 4 refills and lidoderm patch 5% with 4 refills.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ibuprofen 800mg with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 63-73.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-71. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs.

Decision rationale: Motrin (Ibuprofen) is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Oral NSAIDs are recommended for the treatment of chronic pain and control of inflammation as a second-line therapy after acetaminophen. The ODG states that NSAIDs are recommended for acute pain, osteoarthritis and acute exacerbations of chronic pain. There is no evidence of long-term effectiveness for pain or function. There is inconsistent evidence for the use of NSAIDs to treat long-term neuropathic pain. Guidelines recommended that the lowest effective dose be used for the shortest duration of time consistent with treatment goals. In this case, the patient has not been on Motrin since she started Tramadol therapy. Medical necessity of the requested medication, Motrin 800mg, has not been established. The request for this medication is not medically necessary.

Gabapentin 600mg with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-epilepsy drugs (AEDs) Page(s): 16-22.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-epilepsy drugs (AEDs) Page(s): 17-19. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) AEDs.

Decision rationale: Neurontin (Gabapentin) is an anti-epilepsy drug which has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain. The records do not document that the patient has neuropathic pain. There was no documentation of objective findings consistent with current neuropathic pain to necessitate use of Neurontin. Medical necessity for Neurontin has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Diclofenac 100mg with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 63-73.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-71. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs.

Decision rationale: According to California MTUS Guidelines, oral NSAIDs, such as Diclofenac, are recommended for the treatment of chronic pain and control of inflammation as a second-line therapy after acetaminophen. The ODG states that NSAIDs are recommended for acute pain, acute low back pain (LBP), and short-term pain relief in chronic LBP. There is no evidence of long-term effectiveness for pain or function. According to the ODG, there is inconsistent evidence for the use of NSAIDs to treat long-term neuropathic pain, but they may be useful to treat breakthrough pain in this condition. Physicians should measure transaminases periodically in patients receiving long-term therapy with Diclofenac. In this case, there is no

documentation of functional benefit in the past. Medical necessity for the requested medication has not been established. The requested item is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm patch 5% with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm (lidocaine patch) Page(s): 56-57.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 56-57.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS Guidelines (2009), topical analgesics, such as the Lidoderm 5% patch, are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control, for example, NSAIDs, opioids, or antidepressants. Lidoderm is the brand name for a lidocaine patch. Topical lidocaine may be recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants, or an AED, such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Lidoderm patches are not a first-line treatment and are only FDA approved for post-herpetic neuralgia. Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders other than post-herpetic neuralgia. In this case, medical necessity of the requested item has not been established. The requested Lidoderm patches are not medically necessary.