

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0108504		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/22/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/14/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/13/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/05/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/22/10. The injured worker has complaints of right shoulder pain radiating into the bilateral elbow and pain and numbness in the bilateral wrists. Right shoulder examination revealed there is grade 2-3 tenderness to palpation and restricted range of motion. The documentation noted that impingement and supraspinatus tests were positive and bilateral elbows examination revealed there is grade 2-3 tenderness to palpation. The diagnoses have included right shoulder rotator cuff tear, exacerbation; status post right shoulder decompression dated 8/25/14; bilateral elbow lateral epicondylitis; bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome and bilateral chronic overuse syndrome. Treatment to date has included physical therapy and norco. The request was for 12 sessions of physical therapy right shoulder; right shoulder subacromial block consisting of 5cc lidocaine and 1cc depo-medrol 40mg and norco 5/325mg #60.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**12 Sessions of PT Right Shoulder:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is "Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007)" The patient completed 12 sessions of post-op physical therapy, following right shoulder arthroscopic surgery performed in August 2014, without clear documentation of efficacy. There is no documentation as to why the patient cannot perform home exercise. Therefore, the request for 12 physical therapy sessions right shoulder is not medically necessary.

**Right Shoulder Subacromial Block Consisting of 5 CC Lidocaine and 1 CC Depo-Medrol 40 MG:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 203.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 213.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, 2 or 3 subacromial injections of local anesthetics and cortisone preparation over an extended period as a part of an exercise rehabilitation program to treat rotator cuff inflammation, impingement syndrome, or small tear is recommended. It is also recommended as diagnostic lidocaine injections to distinguish pain sources in the shoulder area. There is no specific recommendation to use ultrasound or use of fluoroscopy. In this case, there no clear documentation of failure of adequate trials of

conservative therapies. Furthermore it is not clear that the injections are a part of an exercise rehabilitation program. Therefore the request for Right Shoulder Subacromial Block Consisting of 5 CC Lidocaine and 1 CC Depo-Medrol 40 MG is not medically necessary.

**Norco 5/325 MG #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework." According to the patient's file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used since at least September 2013 without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 5/325mg #60 is not medically necessary.