

Case Number:	CM15-0107330		
Date Assigned:	06/11/2015	Date of Injury:	08/20/2006
Decision Date:	07/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Massachusetts

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This male sustained an industrial injury to the right shoulder and low back on 8/20/06. Previous treatment included right shoulder arthroscopy, magnetic resonance imaging, physical therapy, home exercise, electrical stimulation unit, inversion table and medications. In a PR-2 dated 5/14/15, the injured worker complained of low back pain with radiation down bilateral legs to the feet associated with numb, stiffness and difficulty getting up from a sitting position, increased right shoulder pain with limited range of motion and right elbow pain with applying pressure. The injured worker stated that without medications he would not be able to function in his daily activities. Physical exam was remarkable for tenderness to palpation over the posterior superior iliac spines bilaterally. Current diagnoses included lumbar spine sprain/strain with lower extremity radiculitis, lumbar disc bulge, lateral epicondylitis right elbow, right elbow tendinitis, upper lumbar kyphosis, lumbar degenerative disc disease, left L5 radiculopathy, lumbar disc herniation, status post right shoulder rotator cuff repair, right shoulder capsulitis and glenoid labrum tear right shoulder. The treatment plan included prescriptions for Tramadol, Zolpidem, Orphenadrine, Naproxen Sodium, Omeprazole, Colace and Celecoxib as well as continuing home exercise, use of electrical stimulation unit and inversion table.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol 50mg #200 1 or 2 QID PRN with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-97.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines section on Opioids, On-Going Management, p 74-97, (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the injured worker's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the injured worker's response to treatment. The 4As for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain injured workers on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4As" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the injured worker should be requested to keep a pain diary that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management (e) Use of drug screening or injured worker treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion) (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Additionally, the MTUS states that continued use of opioids requires (a) the injured worker has returned to work, (b) the injured worker has improved functioning and pain. There is no current documentation of baseline pain, pain score with use of opioids, functional improvement on current regimen, side effects or review of potentially aberrant drug taking behaviors as outlined in the MTUS and as required for ongoing treatment. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and the request is not medically necessary.

Zolpidem 10mg #30 1 HS with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Chronic, Ambien.

Decision rationale: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Various medications may provide short-term benefit. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. (Feinberg, 2008) See Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR offers no significant clinical advantage over regular release zolpidem. Ambien CR is approved for chronic use, but chronic use of hypnotics in general is discouraged, as outlined in Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR causes a greater frequency of dizziness, drowsiness, and headache compared to immediate release zolpidem. (Ambien & Ambien CR package insert) Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) should be an important part of an insomnia treatment plan. A study of injured workers with persistent insomnia found that the addition of zolpidem immediate release to CBT was modestly beneficial during acute (first 6 weeks) therapy, but better long-term outcomes were achieved when zolpidem IR was discontinued and maintenance CBT continued. (Morin, 2009) Due to adverse effects, FDA now requires lower doses for zolpidem. The dose of zolpidem for women should be lowered from 10 mg to 5 mg for IR products (Ambien, Edluar, Zolpimist, and generic) and from 12.5 mg to 6.25 mg for ER products (Ambien CR). The ER product is still more risky than IR. In laboratory studies, 15% of women and 3% of men who took a 10-milligram dose of Ambien had potentially dangerous concentrations of the drug in their blood eight hours later. Among those who took Ambien CR, the problem was more common: 33% of women and 25% of men had blood concentrations that would raise the risk of a motor vehicle accident eight hours later. Even at the lower dose of Ambien CR now recommended by the FDA, 15% of women and 5% of men still had high levels of the drug in their system in the morning. (FDA, 2013) According to SAMHSA, zolpidem is linked to a sharp increase in ED visits, so it should be used safely for only a short period of time. According to the documents available for review, the injured worker does not carry diagnoses of insomnia. Furthermore the injured worker has been using this medication for long-term treatment. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and the request is not medically necessary.

Orphenadrine 100mg #60 1 BID with 5 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
Orphenadrine Page(s): 63.

Decision rationale: The MTUS recommends non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in injured workers with chronic LBP. (Chou, 2007) (Mens, 2005) (van Tulder, 1998) (van Tulder, 2003) (van Tulder, 2006) (Schnitzer, 2004) (See, 2008) Muscle relaxants may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, and increasing mobility. However, in most LBP cases, they show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain and overall improvement. Also there is no additional benefit shown in combination with NSAIDs. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. Sedation is the most commonly reported adverse effect of muscle relaxant medications. These drugs should be used with caution in injured workers driving motor vehicles or operating heavy machinery. Drugs with the most limited published evidence in terms of clinical effectiveness include chlorzoxazone, methocarbamol, dantrolene and baclofen. (Chou, 2004) According to a recent review in American Family Physician, skeletal muscle relaxants are the most widely prescribed drug class for musculoskeletal conditions (18.5% of prescriptions), and the most commonly prescribed antispasmodic agents are carisoprodol, cyclobenzaprine, metaxalone, and methocarbamol, but despite their popularity, skeletal muscle relaxants should not be the primary drug class of choice for musculoskeletal conditions. (See2, 2008) According to the documents available for review, the injured worker has been utilizing orphenadrine for long-term treatment of chronic pain condition. This is in contrast to the MTUS recommendations for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and the request is not medically necessary.