

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0105314		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/09/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/29/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/10/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/28/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/01/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45 year old, female who sustained a work related injury on 9/29/10. The diagnoses have included right knee derangement, knee degenerative joint disease, arthritis, lumbar spondylosis, fibromyalgia/myositis, radiculopathy and major depressive disorder. Treatments have included medications, use of a right knee brace, knee injections, right knee surgery, back injections, ice therapy, and hip injections. In the PR-2 dated 4/14/15, the injured worker complains of moderate, aching pain in the lower back, right leg, right knee, right hip and left wrist. She has moderate peripatellar tenderness. She has right subtrochanteric tenderness. The treatment plan includes refills of medications.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Robaxin 500mg quantity unspecified:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 67. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain Chapter.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants, pg 128.

**Decision rationale:** Guidelines do not recommend long-term use of this muscle relaxant for this chronic injury. Additionally, the efficacy in clinical trials has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication or medical need for this treatment and there is no report of significant clinical findings, acute flare-up or new injury to support for its long-term use. There is no report of functional improvement resulting from its previous treatment to support further use as the patient remains unchanged. The Robaxin 500mg quantity unspecified is not medically necessary and appropriate.

**Neurontin, quantity and dosage unspecified:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti Epilepsy Drugs.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-Epilepsy Drugs/Gabapentin, pages 18-19.

**Decision rationale:** Although Neurontin (Gabapentin) has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain; however, submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the specific symptom relief or functional benefit from treatment already rendered for this chronic injury. Medical reports have not demonstrated specific change, progression of neurological deficits or neuropathic pain with functional improvement from treatment of this chronic injury. Previous treatment with Neurontin has not resulted in any functional benefit and medical necessity has not been established. The Neurontin, quantity and dosage unspecified is not medically necessary and appropriate.

**Percocet 10/325mg quantity 120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Percocet Page(s): 102.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, page(s) 74-96.

**Decision rationale:** Per the MTUS Guidelines cited, opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in

pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive deterioration. The Percocet 10/325mg quantity 120 is not medically necessary and appropriate.