

Case Number:	CM15-0102777		
Date Assigned:	06/05/2015	Date of Injury:	10/03/2014
Decision Date:	07/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/28/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/3/14. The diagnoses have included acute cervical and lumbosacral spine strain/sprain, radiculopathy bilateral upper and lower extremities and acute thoracic spine sprain. Treatment to date has included medications, activity modifications, massage, heat, physical therapy and home exercise program (HEP). Currently, as per the physician progress note dated 4/8/15, the injured worker complains of cervical spine pain that persists. The objective findings reveal cervical spine pain with motion, cervical spine flexion is 50/50, extension is 40/50, and there are sensory deficits bilaterally. There are no reports of urine drug screen noted in the records. The low back pain has decreased since the previous visit. The physician noted that she needs additional physical therapy to the cervical and lumbar spine as the previous was effective and use medication management. The physician requested treatments included retro: Tylenol 3 #60, retro: Omeprazole 20mg #60 and retro: Ibuprofen 800mg #60.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retro: Tylenol 3 #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 76-78, 92.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Codeine Page(s): 35. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, (Tylenol with Codeine).

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG state regarding codeine, "Recommended as an option for mild to moderate pain, as indicated below. Codeine is a schedule C-II controlled substance. It is similar to morphine. 60 mg of codeine is similar in potency to 600 mg of acetaminophen. It is widely used as a cough suppressant. It is used as a single agent or in combination with acetaminophen (Tylenol with Codeine) and other products for treatment of mild to moderate pain." ODG further states regarding opioid usage, "Not recommended as a first-line treatment for chronic non-malignant pain, and not recommended in patients at high risk for misuse, diversion, or substance abuse. Opioids may be recommended as a 2nd or 3rd line treatment option for chronic non-malignant pain, with caution, especially at doses over 100 mg morphine equivalent dosage/day (MED)." The medical records do not indicate what first-line treatment was tried and failed. Additionally, medical records do not detail how the patient's pain and functional level with Tylenol with Codeine has improved. As such, the request for Retro: Tylenol 3 #60 is not medically necessary.

Retro: Omeprazole 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs) Page(s): 68-69.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG states, "Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." And "Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease: (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200 & #130;g four times daily) or(2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44)." The medical documents provided do not establish the patient has having documented GI bleeding, perforation, peptic ulcer, high dose NSAID, or other GI risk factors as outlined in MTUS. As such, the request for Retro: Omeprazole 20mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Retro: Ibuprofen 800mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) Page(s): 67-68, 72.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Ibuprofen, NSAIDS Page(s): 67-72.

Decision rationale: MTUS recommends the use of NSAIDS for the acute exacerbation of back pain at the lowest effective dose for the shortest amount of time due to the increased cardiovascular risk, renal, hepatic and GI side effects associated with long-term use. MTUS states "Ibuprofen (Motrin, Advil [otc], generic available): 300, 400, 600, 800 mg. Dosing: Osteoarthritis and off-label for ankylosing spondylitis: 1200 mg to 3200 mg daily. Individual patients may show no better response to 3200 mg as 2400 mg, and sufficient clinical improvement should be observed to offset potential risk of treatment with the increased dose. Higher doses are generally recommended for rheumatoid arthritis: 400-800 mg PO 3-4 times a day, use the lowest effective dose. Higher doses are usually necessary for osteoarthritis. Doses should not exceed 3200 mg/day. Mild pain to moderate pain: 400 mg PO every 4-6 hours as needed. Doses greater than 400 mg have not provided greater relief of pain." The treating physician did not document a decrease in pain or functional improvement from the use of Ibuprofen. As such, the request for Ibuprofen 800mg, #60 is not medically necessary.