

Case Number:	CM15-0101979		
Date Assigned:	06/04/2015	Date of Injury:	04/30/2010
Decision Date:	07/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/28/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 51 year old female injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 04/30/2010. The diagnoses included left knee replacement, right hip strain with arthroscopy lumbar sprain with sciatica, progressive right lower extremity sciatica chronic pain and right ankle sprain. The injured worker had been treated with orthopedic surgeries, massage therapy and medications. On 4/20/2015, the treating provider reported right inner back and right ankle pain rated 3 to 8/10. She reported the massage therapy reduced the pain from 8/10 to 5/10. On exam, there was tenderness to the right upper back and right positive straight leg raise. The treatment plan included Alprazolam and massage therapy sessions including myofascial release.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Alprazolam 0.25mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines, page 24.

Decision rationale: Xanax (Alprazolam) is indicated for the management of anxiety disorder. Anxiety or tension associated with the stress of everyday life usually does not require treatment with an anxiolytic. Alprazolam is an anti-anxiety medication in the benzodiazepine family, which inhibits many of the activities of the brain, as it is believed that excessive activity in the brain may lead to anxiety or other psychiatric disorders. Per the Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, benzodiazepines are not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks as chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions and tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Additionally, submitted reports have not demonstrated clear functional benefit of treatment already rendered for this chronic injury of 2010. The Alprazolam 0.25mg #90 is not medically necessary and appropriate.

6 massage therapy sessions including myofascial release: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Massage therapy Page(s): 60.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Massage therapy, page(s) 60.

Decision rationale: Massage is recommended for time-limited use in subacute and chronic pain patients without underlying serious pathology and as an adjunct to a conditioning program that has both graded aerobic exercise and strengthening exercises; however, this is not the case for this chronic injury status post significant conservative physical therapy currently on an independent home exercise program without plan for formal physical therapy sessions. The patient has remained functionally unchanged. A short course may be appropriate during an acute flare-up; however, this has not been demonstrated nor are there any documented clinical change or functional improvement from treatment rendered previously. Without any new onset or documented plan for a concurrent active exercise program, criteria for massage therapy have not been established per MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines. The 6 massage therapy sessions including myofascial release is not medically necessary and appropriate.