

Case Number:	CM15-0100035		
Date Assigned:	06/02/2015	Date of Injury:	02/25/2008
Decision Date:	07/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee

Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 64-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 2/25/08. The injured worker has complaints of right arm, right elbow, right wrist and both hand pain. The documentation on the examination of the bilateral shoulders revealed normal shoulder examination and the right elbow revealed tenderness to palpation over the lateral epicondyle and the bilateral wrists reveals full range of motion. The diagnoses have included lateral epicondylitis and lesion of ulnar nerve. Treatment to date has included acupuncture; application of ice; naproxen; omeprazole and lidopro. The request was for occupational therapy 2 times a week for 5 weeks and lidopro topical 121gm with 2 refills.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Occupational therapy 2 times a week for 5 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Physical therapy guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 98-99.

Decision rationale: Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that there is no high-grade scientific evidence to support the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of passive physical modalities such as traction, heat/cold applications, massage, diathermy, TENS units, ultrasound, laser treatment, or biofeedback. They can provide short-term relief during the early phases of treatment. Active treatment is associated with better outcomes and can be managed as a home exercise program with supervision. ODG states that physical therapy is more effective in short-term follow up. Patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy). When treatment duration and/or number of visits exceed the guideline, exceptional factors should be noted. Recommended number of visits for myalgia and myositis is 9-10 visits over 8 weeks; and for neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis is 8-10 visits over 4 weeks. In this case the requested number of 10 visits surpasses the number of six recommended for clinical trial to determine functional improvement. The request should not be authorized.

Lidopro topical 121gm with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 111-112. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Treatment Guidelines from the Medical Letter, April 1, 2013, Issue 128: Drugs for pain UpToDate: Camphor and menthol: Drug information.

Decision rationale: Lidopro cream is a topical analgesic containing capsaicin, Lidocaine, menthol, and methyl salicylate. Topical analgesics are recommended for neuropathic pain when anticonvulsants and antidepressants have failed. Compounded topical analgesics are commonly prescribed and there is little to no research to support the use of these compounds. Furthermore, the guidelines state that "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." Capsaicin is recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded or cannot tolerate other treatments. It is recommended for osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain and is considered experimental in high doses. Lidocaine is recommended for localized peripheral pain after the evidence of a trial for first-line therapy. It is only FDA approved for the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. The guidelines state that further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain. It is not recommended. Methylsalicylate is a topical salicylate and is recommended, being significantly better than placebo in chronic pain. Topical analgesics containing menthol, methylsalicylate or capsaicin are generally well-tolerated, but there have been rare reports of severe skin burns requiring treatment or hospitalization. Menthol is not recommended. This medication contains drugs that are not recommended. Therefore, the medication cannot be recommended. The request should not be authorized.

