

Case Number:	CM15-0009585		
Date Assigned:	01/27/2015	Date of Injury:	02/09/2012
Decision Date:	03/23/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/16/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on February 9, 2012. She has reported neck, upper, mid and low back pain, leg pain and sleep disturbance. The diagnoses have included lumbar disc protrusion, lumbar facet arthropathy, lumbar foraminal stenosis and lumbar radicular pain. Treatment to date has included chiropractic, physical therapy and oral medication. Currently, the IW complains of low back pain and lower extremity numbness with weakness. Treatment includes epidural steroid injection, nerve conduction study and oral medication. On December 29, 2014 utilization review non-certified a request for physical therapy 2-3 X 4-6 weeks cervical, noting the requested therapy exceeds guidelines. The Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) Chronic Pain guidelines were utilized in the determination. Application for independent medical review (IMR) is dated January 16, 2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical Therapy 2-3 X 4-6 weeks Cervical: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007). There is no documentation of objective findings that support musculoskeletal dysfunction requiring more physical therapy. There is no documentation of outcome of previous physical therapy sessions. The provider is requesting approximately 36 sessions of physical therapy which exceeded the number of sessions recommended by guidelines Therefore Physical Therapy 2-3 X 4-6 weeks Cervical is not medically necessary.