

Case Number:	CM15-0009104		
Date Assigned:	01/27/2015	Date of Injury:	01/02/2009
Decision Date:	03/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/19/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/15/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 66 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 1/2/2009. The current diagnoses are bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, degenerative disc disease of the cervical spine, history of shoulder impingement, shoulder arthritis, history of knee arthritis with ACL tear, and left hand CMC osteoarthropathy. Currently, the injured worker complains of worsening left wrist/hand pain (8/10 on a subjective pain scale), right wrist/hand pain (3/10), cervical pain (5/10), left shoulder pain (3/10), and right hip pain (6/10). Current medications are Norco. Treatment to date has included medication and cortisone injections to the cervical spine on 2/14/2013 and 9/25/2013. Per the Utilization Review, a request for Norco was modified on 11/16/2014, with the certification of 60 tablets in order to initiate a weaning process. Additionally, surgery and post-operative Norco 10/325mg #60 was recently certified on 12/8/2014. The treating physician is requesting Norco 7.5/325mg #90, which is now under review. On 12/19/2014, Utilization Review had non-certified a request for Norco 7.5/325mg #90. The California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines were cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

1 prescription of Norco 7.5/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework." According to the patient file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used for longtime without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 7.5/325mg #90 is not medically necessary.