

Case Number:	CM15-0007590		
Date Assigned:	01/26/2015	Date of Injury:	06/17/2014
Decision Date:	03/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 38 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on June 17, 2014. She has reported neck and back pain after a fall. The diagnoses have included low back pain and disc disease. Treatment to date has included X-ray of lumbar spine and sacrum/coccyx on September 15, 2014, which were normal, Magnetic resonance imaging the date and results not provided, oral medication which the injured worker could not remember what they were and the provider had no information available on this. Currently, the injured worker complains of pain on her lumbar spine, the pain is described as sharp, constant and shooting pain associated with occasional numbness and tingling in the right lower extremities. The pain is worse with walking, sitting for prolonged periods of time, bending or lifting heavy objects and the pain is better with rest and medication. On January 13, 2015 Utilization Review non-certified a TENS unit thirty day trial and Acupuncture times six noting, Official Disability Guidelines and American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine was cited. On December 31, 2014, the injured worker submitted an application for IMR for review of TENS unit thirty day trial, Acupuncture times six and bilateral medial branch block L5-S1.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS unit, 30 day trial: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS, Chronic Pain (Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation, Transcutaneous electrotherapy Page(s): 54, 114-116, 118-120. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Pain, TENS chronic pain (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation)

Decision rationale: MTUS states regarding TENS unit, Not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option, if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, for the conditions described below. For pain, MTUS and ODG recommend TENS (with caveats) for neuropathic pain, phantom limb pain and CRPSII, spasticity, and multiple sclerosis. The medical records do not indicate any of the previous conditions. ODG further outlines recommendations for specific body parts: Low back: Not recommended as an isolated intervention. Knee: Recommended as an option for osteoarthritis as adjunct treatment to a therapeutic exercise program. Neck: Not recommended as a primary treatment modality for use in whiplash-associated disorders, acute mechanical neck disease or chronic neck disorders with radicular findings. Ankle and foot: Not recommended. Elbow: Not recommended. Forearm, Wrist and Hand: Not recommended. Shoulder: Recommended for post-stroke rehabilitation. Medical records do not indicate conditions of the low back, knee, neck, ankle, elbow, or shoulders that meet guidelines. Of note, medical records do not indicate knee osteoarthritis. ODG further details criteria for the use of TENS for Chronic intractable pain (for the conditions noted above): (1) Documentation of pain of at least three months duration (2) There is evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed (3) A one-month trial period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during this trial (4) Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage (5) A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted (6) After a successful 1-month trial, continued TENS treatment may be recommended if the physician documents that the patient is likely to derive significant therapeutic benefit from continuous use of the unit over a long period of time. At this point purchase would be preferred over rental. (7) Use for acute pain (less than three months duration) other than post-operative pain is not recommended. (8) A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary. The medical records do not satisfy the several criteria for selection specifically, lack of documented short-long term treatment goals with TENS unit, and lack of indication. As such, the request for TENS unit 30 day trial is not medically necessary.

Acupuncture x 6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Acupuncture

Decision rationale: MTUS Acupuncture Medical Treatment Guidelines clearly state that acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. The medical documents did not provide detail regarding patient's increase or decrease in pain medication. Further, there was no evidence to support that this treatment would be utilized as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. ODG does not recommend acupuncture for acute low back pain, but may want to consider a trial of acupuncture for acute LBP if it would facilitate participation in active rehab efforts. The initial trial should 3-4 visits over 2 weeks with evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 8-12 visits over 4-6 weeks (Note: The evidence is inconclusive for repeating this procedure beyond an initial short course of therapy.) There is no evidence provided that indicates the patient received acupuncture before or that the acupuncture sessions are being used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation or surgical intervention. As such, the request for Acupuncture x 6 is not medically necessary.