

Case Number:	CM15-0007578		
Date Assigned:	01/22/2015	Date of Injury:	03/15/2013
Decision Date:	08/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/22/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on March 15, 2013, incurring low back and shoulder injuries. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the lumbar spine revealed multi-level disc disease. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the right shoulder showed an acromioclavicular sprain and bicipital tendinitis. He was diagnosed with lumbar disc disease and a right shoulder impingement syndrome with biceps tendinitis. Treatment included anti-inflammatory drugs, pain medications, topical analgesic patches, shoulder injections, acupuncture, physical therapy, home exercise program and modified duties. Currently, the injured worker complained of increased pain with cold weather and difficulty sleeping secondary to pain. He noted weakness, pain and tenderness in the shoulder, with limited range of motion. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization included a prescription for Vicodin.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Vicodin 5/300mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ACOEM 2014: Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Shoulder, Opioids.

Decision rationale: Vicodin is the brand name version of hydrocodone and acetaminophen, which is considered a short-acting opioid. ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for shoulder pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks". The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life". The treating physician does not fully document the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. As such, the request for Vicodin 5/300mg #60 is not medically necessary.