

Case Number:	CM15-0006575		
Date Assigned:	01/26/2015	Date of Injury:	08/11/2014
Decision Date:	03/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

10/17/14 note reports pain in the low back. Examination notes neurologic exam intact. There is left and right positive SLR. 10/3/14 note reports pain in the low back with radiating pain to the left thigh with numbness. Meds gave relief. Reported to be on modified duty. Medications listed as nabumetone, acetaminophen, cyclobenzaprine. Exam notes tenderness in the lumbar spine with restricted range of motion. Right and left SLR are positive.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Voltaren Gel 1% 1 tube: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines topical analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: The medical records provided for review do not indicate a neuropathic pain condition The records do not report poor tolerance to oral medications or indicate the specific

medications failed, specifically trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants. MTUS supports this agent is Primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. As the records do not indicate specific antidepressants and anticonvulsants tried and failed, the medical records do not support use of this medication congruent with MTUS.

Norco 7.5/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation pain, opioids

Decision rationale: ODG guidelines support opioids with : Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug-related behaviors. The medical records report chronic pain but does not document ongoing opioid risk mitigation tool use in support of chronic therapy congruent with ODG guidelines. As such chronic opioids are not supported.