

Case Number:	CM15-0006087		
Date Assigned:	01/20/2015	Date of Injury:	12/05/2007
Decision Date:	03/17/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/23/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old male with a date of injury as 12/05/2007. The current diagnoses include piriformis syndrome, failed back syndrome-lumbar, radiculopathy-lumbar/sacral, and sacroiliitis. Previous treatments include medications, transforaminal epidural steroid injection, physical therapy, and lumbar fusion. Report dated 12/15/2014 noted that the injured worker presented with complaints that included low back pain and left leg pain improvement following the transforaminal epidural steroid injection. Pain level was rated as 8 out of 10 at it's worst. Physical examination revealed a point of tenderness directly over the left L5-S1 facet joint, pain with extension of the low back. Current medication regimen includes gabapentin, Lunesta, Oxycontin, and Percocet. The utilization review performed on 12/23/2014 modified a prescription for Oxycontin and Percocet based on lack of clear documentation of recent risk assessment profile and prior attempt at weaning/tapering. The reviewer referenced the California MTUS in making this decision.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycontin 20mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 74-96.

Decision rationale: Oxycontin is an extended release preparation of the opioid oxycodone. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that opioids are not recommended as a first line therapy. Opioid should be part of a treatment plan specific for the patient and should follow criteria for use. Criteria for use include establishment of a treatment plan, determination if pain is nociceptive or neuropathic, failure of pain relief with non-opioid analgesics, setting of specific functional goals, and opioid contract with agreement for random drug testing. If analgesia is not obtained, opioids should be discontinued. The patient should be screened for likelihood that he or she could be weaned from the opioids if there is no improvement in pain of function. It is recommended for short-term use if first-line options, such as acetaminophen or NSAIDS have failed. In this case the patient has been receiving Oxycontin since at least August 2014 and has not obtained analgesia. In addition there is no documentation that the patient has signed an opioid contract or is participating in urine drug testing. Criteria for long-term opioid use have not been met. The request should not be authorized.

Percocet 10mg-325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 11, 74-96.

Decision rationale: Percocet 10/325 is compounded medication containing oxycodone/acetaminophen. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that opioids are not recommended as a first line therapy. Opioid should be part of a treatment plan specific for the patient and should follow criteria for use. Criteria for use include establishment of a treatment plan, determination if pain is nociceptive or neuropathic, failure of pain relief with non-opioid analgesics, setting of specific functional goals, and opioid contract with agreement for random drug testing. If analgesia is not obtained, opioids should be discontinued. The patient should be screened for likelihood that he or she could be weaned from the opioids if there is no improvement in pain of function. It is recommended for short-term use if first-line options, such as acetaminophen or NSAIDS have failed. Acetaminophen is recommended for treatment of chronic pain & acute exacerbations of chronic pain. Acetaminophen overdose is a well-known cause of acute liver failure. Hepatotoxicity from therapeutic doses is unusual. Renal insufficiency occurs in 1 to 2% of patients with overdose. The recommended dose for mild to moderate pain is 650 to 1000 mg orally every 4 hours with a maximum of 4 g/day. In this case the patient has been receiving Percocet since at least August 2014 and has not obtained analgesia. In addition there is no documentation that the patient has signed an opioid contract or is participating in urine drug testing. Criteria for long-term opioid use have not been met. The request should not be authorized.

