

Case Number:	CM15-0003497		
Date Assigned:	02/13/2015	Date of Injury:	08/30/1997
Decision Date:	04/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/18/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/07/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Massachusetts

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 30, 1997. The diagnoses have included radiculopathy lumbar region. Treatment to date has included Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, narcotics, anti-inflammatories, muscle relaxants, injections, physical therapy and sleep aides, acupuncture. Currently, the injured worker complains of pain in lower back, left side, the pain is described as aching, sharp, shooting and stabbing, the pain radiates into left leg/foot the pain is improved by medication and aggravated by activity. In a progress note dated November 10, 2014, the treating provider reports lumbar examination reveals decreased range of motion, positive straight leg raising in supine, sitting and left sided slump test positive, left sided Patrick test positive, palpation over the left lumbar paraspinals is tender. On December 18, 2014 Utilization Review non-certified a 2 Norco 10/325mg quantity 120 one every six hours, and Ambien CR 12.5mg quantity 30 one nightly, noting, Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Guidelines was cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

2 Norco 10/325 MG #120 1 Every 6 Hours: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Ongoing Management Page(s): 74-97.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines section on Opioids, On-Going Management, p 74-97, (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the injured worker's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the injured worker's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain injured workers on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the injured worker should be requested to keep a pain diary that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management. (e) Use of drug screening or injured worker treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion). (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to nonopioid means of pain control. (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Additionally, the MTUS states that continued use of opioids requires (a) the injured worker has returned to work, (b) the injured worker has improved functioning and pain. There is no current documentation of baseline pain, pain score with use of opioids, functional improvement on current regimen, side effects or review of potentially aberrant drug taking behaviors as outlined in the MTUS and as required for ongoing treatment. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and medical necessity has not been established.

Ambien CR 12.5 MG #30 Tabs 1 Nightly As An Outpatient: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, Pain (Chronic) Ambien (Zolpidem).

Decision rationale: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Various medications may provide short-term benefit. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. (Feinberg, 2008) See Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR offers no significant clinical advantage over regular release zolpidem. Ambien CR is approved for chronic use, but chronic use of hypnotics in general is discouraged, as outlined in Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR causes a greater frequency of dizziness, drowsiness, and headache compared to immediate release zolpidem. (Ambien & Ambien CR package insert) Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) should be an important part of an insomnia treatment plan. A study of injured workers with persistent insomnia found that the addition of zolpidem immediate release to CBT was modestly beneficial during acute (first 6 weeks) therapy, but better long-term outcomes were achieved when zolpidem IR was discontinued and maintenance CBT continued. (Morin, 2009) Due to adverse effects, FDA now requires lower doses for zolpidem. The dose of zolpidem for women should be lowered from 10 mg to 5 mg for IR products (Ambien, Edluar, Zolpimist, and generic) and from 12.5 mg to 6.25 mg for ER products (Ambien CR). The ER product is still more risky than IR. In laboratory studies, 15% of women and 3% of men who took a 10-milligram dose of Ambien had potentially dangerous concentrations of the drug in their blood eight hours later. Among those who took Ambien CR, the problem was more common: 33% of women and 25% of men had blood concentrations that would raise the risk of a motor vehicle accident eight hours later. Even at the lower dose of Ambien CR now recommended by the FDA, 15% of women and 5% of men still had high levels of the drug in their system in the morning. (FDA, 2013) According to SAMHSA, zolpidem is linked to a sharp increase in ED visits, so it should be used safely for only a short period of time. According to the documents available for review, the injured worker does not carry diagnoses of insomnia. Furthermore the injured worker has been using this medication for long-term treatment. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and medical necessity has not been established.