

Case Number:	CM14-0097905		
Date Assigned:	10/14/2014	Date of Injury:	11/20/2013
Decision Date:	03/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/02/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/26/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant is a 46 yo male who sustained an industrial injury on 11/20/2013. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. His diagnoses include cervical sprain/strain, thoracic sprain/strain, lumbar sprain/strain, and lumbar radiculopathy. On physical exam he has a mildly antalgic gait with pain to palpation at the cervical and lumbar paraspinal muscles and decreased range of motion in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar planes. There is decreased sensation in the right C6,7,8, and left C5, and the right L3,L4, and left L5, and S1. Treatment has included medications Ketoprofen, Omeprazole, and LidoPro Topical Ointment, chiropractic and physical therapy. The treating provider has requested LidoPro Topical ointment 4oz.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

#1 LIDOPRO TOPICAL OINTMENT 4 OZ NDC: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TOPICAL ANALGESICS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on topical analgesics states: Recommended as an option as indicated below. Largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. (Namaka, 2004) These agents are applied locally to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. (Colombo, 2006) Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control (including NSAIDs, opioids, capsaicin, local anesthetics, antidepressants, glutamate receptor antagonists, adrenergic receptor agonist, adenosine, cannabinoids, cholinergic receptor agonists, agonists, prostanoids, bradykinin, adenosine triphosphate, biogenic amines, and nerve growth factor). (Argoff, 2006) There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. The requested medication includes components including menthol and methyl salicylate that are not listed in the California MTUS as recommended agents to be used as topical analgesics. Therefore criteria as set forth in the California MTUS have not been met and the request is not certified.