

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0063697		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/29/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	05/18/2007
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/28/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/15/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/06/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old, female who sustained a work related injury on 5/18/07. The diagnoses have included status post lumbar surgery, spinal cord stimulator implant, bilateral leg radiculopathy, right hip surgery and cervical spine strain/sprain. The treatments have included a spinal cord stimulator, right hip injections, behavioral psychotherapy, MRIs, electrodiagnostic studies, cervical epidural steroid injections and medications. In the PR-2 dated 3/19/14, the injured worker complains of cervical neck pain, which has significantly improved with the recent cervical epidural steroid injection. He has 70% benefit of pain relief. He complains of lower back pain with radiating pain down his right leg. He rates this pain an 8/10. He relies on lumbar spinal cord for 40-50% pain relief. He complains of right hip and groin pain. The treatment plan is refilling medications.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Xanax 0.5mg 2x day:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain chapter, Benzodiazepines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS states that benzodiazepine (ie Xanax) is "Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks." Medical records indicate that the patient has been on Xanax since at least 2013, far exceeding MTUS recommendations. The medical record does not provide any extenuating circumstances to recommend exceeding the guideline recommendations. Additionally, no documentation as to if a trial of antidepressants was initiated and the outcome of this trial. As such, the request for Xanax 0.5mg 2x day is not medical necessary.