

Case Number:	CM14-0063141		
Date Assigned:	07/11/2014	Date of Injury:	10/17/2011
Decision Date:	01/28/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/01/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/05/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

37y/o female injured worker with date of injury 10/17/11 with related bilateral leg pain. Per progress report dated 3/17/14, the injured worker complained of paresthesias running over the lateral leg, right greater than left. She was noted to be in her third trimester of pregnancy. Physical exam showed mild right piriformis/sciatic notch tenderness, and tenderness over L5-S1. It was noted that there was diminished sensation, right greater than left. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, and medication management. The date of UR decision was 4/1/14.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidoderm Patches: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 112.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines page 112 states, "Lidocaine Indication: Neuropathic pain Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as Gabapentin or Lyrica). Topical lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch

(Lidoderm) has been designated for orphan status by the FDA for neuropathic pain. Lidoderm is also used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other commercially approved topical formulations of lidocaine (whether creams, lotions or gels) are indicated for neuropathic pain."The medical records submitted for review do not indicate that there has been a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI antidepressants or an anti-epilepsy drug). There is also no diagnosis of diabetic neuropathy or post-herpetic neuralgia. As such, Lidoderm is not recommended at this time. Furthermore, the request does not contain quantity information. The request is not medically necessary.