

Case Number:	CM14-0041804		
Date Assigned:	07/09/2014	Date of Injury:	08/06/2009
Decision Date:	08/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/13/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 08/06/2009. She has reported subsequent back pain and was diagnosed with post lumbar laminectomy syndrome, lumbar stenosis with multilevel degenerative disc disease, myofascial pain syndrome and chronic pain syndrome. Treatment to date has included oral and topical pain medication, trigger point injections and cognitive behavioral therapy. In a progress note dated 01/07/2014, the injured worker complained of low back pain radiating to the left lower extremity with numbness and tingling and difficulty sleeping due to pain. Objective findings were notable for discrete tender trigger points over the low back and buttocks left greater than right and decreased sensation on the right at L5-S1. A request for authorization of 4 trigger point injections of the right and left lower back for dates of service 01/07/2014, 02/19/2014 and 03/04/2014, Ambien 10 mg dispensed on 02/19/2014, Lidoderm (date of service 01/07/2014 and 02/19/2014) and Lidoderm was submitted.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

4 Trigger Point Injections over the Right and Left Lower Back (DOS: 1/7/2004): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: This Requested Treatment is evaluated in light of the MTUS recommendations for Trigger point injections as per California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines Trigger point injections are recommended only for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. It is not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective. Criteria for the use of Trigger point injections: Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. Medical Records of the injured worker are not clear about the trigger points as defined in these Guidelines. It is noted that the injured worker had no documented evidence of functional improvement from previous trigger point injections. The request is not medically necessary.

4 Trigger Point Injections over the Right and Left Lower Back (DOS: 2/19/2014): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: This Requested Treatment is evaluated in light of the MTUS recommendations for Trigger point injections as per California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines Trigger point injections are recommended only for myofascial pain

syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. It is not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective. Criteria for the use of Trigger point injections: Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. Medical Records of the injured worker are not clear about the trigger points as defined in these Guidelines. It is noted that the injured worker had no documented evidence of functional improvement from previous trigger point injections. The request is not medically necessary.

4 Trigger Point Injections over the Right and Left Lower Back and buttocks (DOS: 3/4/2014): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: This Requested Treatment is evaluated in light of the MTUS recommendations for Trigger point injections as per California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines Trigger point injections are recommended only for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. It is not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with

myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective. Criteria for the use of Trigger point injections: Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. Medical Records of the injured worker are not clear about the trigger points as defined in these Guidelines. It is noted that the injured worker had no documented evidence of functional improvement from previous trigger point injections. The request is not medically necessary.

Ambien 10mg #30 dispensed 2/19/2014: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain (Chronic).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain Chapter Insomnia.

Decision rationale: Ambien (Zolpidem) is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is indicated for the short-term treatment of insomnia with difficulty of sleep onset (7-10 days). Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Ambien can be habit-forming, and may impair function and memory more than opioid analgesics. There is also concern that Ambien may increase pain and depression over the long-term. The treatment of insomnia should be based on the etiology, and pharmacological agents should only be used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. In this case, the injured worker has chronic pain, and the submitted documentation does not indicate that Ambien has helped this injured worker. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm 5% DOS: 1/7/2014: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111 to 113.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS Guidelines (2009), topical analgesics are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anti-convulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control including, for example, NSAIDs, opioids, capsaicin, muscle relaxants, local anesthetics or antidepressants. Guidelines indicate that any compounded product that contains at least one non-recommended drug (or drug class) is not recommended for use. In this case, the requested topical analgesic compound, LidoPro cream, contains: Capsaicin, Lidocaine, Menthol and Methyl Salicylate. MTUS guidelines state that Lidocaine is not recommended for topical application for treatment of neuropathic pain. Capsaicin is recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded to or are intolerant to other treatments. Topical Lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm) is FDA approved for neuropathic pain, and used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other Lidocaine topical creams or lotions are indicated for neuropathic or non-neuropathic pain. Medical necessity for the requested topical analgesic compound has not been established. The requested topical compound is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm 5% DOS: 2/19/2014: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm (Lidocaine patch).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111 to 113.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS Guidelines (2009), topical analgesics are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anti-convulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control including, for example, NSAIDs, opioids, capsaicin, muscle relaxants, local anesthetics or antidepressants. Guidelines indicate that any compounded product that contains at least one non-recommended drug (or drug class) is not recommended for use. In this case, the requested topical analgesic compound, LidoPro cream, contains: Capsaicin, Lidocaine, Menthol and Methyl Salicylate. MTUS guidelines state that Lidocaine is not recommended for topical application for treatment of neuropathic pain. Capsaicin is recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded to or are intolerant to other treatments. Topical Lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm) is FDA approved for neuropathic pain, and used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other Lidocaine topical creams or lotions are indicated for neuropathic or non-neuropathic pain. Medical necessity for the requested topical analgesic compound has not been established. The requested topical compound is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm 5%: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm (Lidocaine patch).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111 to 113.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS Guidelines (2009), topical analgesics are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anti-convulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control including, for example, NSAIDs, opioids, capsaicin, muscle relaxants, local anesthetics or antidepressants. Guidelines indicate that any compounded product that contains at least one non-recommended drug (or drug class) is not recommended for use. In this case, the requested topical analgesic compound, LidoPro cream, contains: Capsaicin, Lidocaine, Menthol and Methyl Salicylate. MTUS guidelines state that Lidocaine is not recommended for topical application for treatment of neuropathic pain. Capsaicin is recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded to or are intolerant to other treatments. Topical Lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm) is FDA approved for neuropathic pain, and used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other Lidocaine topical creams or lotions are indicated for neuropathic or non-neuropathic pain. Medical necessity for the requested topical analgesic compound has not been established. The requested topical compound is not medically necessary.