

Case Number:	CM14-0214125		
Date Assigned:	01/07/2015	Date of Injury:	09/26/2012
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/09/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/22/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of 9/26/12. A utilization review determination dated 12/9/14 recommends non-certification/modification of TENS. 9/18/14 medical report identifies right and left knee pain. On exam, there is tenderness and positive McMurray's. TENS was recommended.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS Unit and 2 Months Supply Of (Electrodes, Batteries, and Lead Wires): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 114-117.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for TENS, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional

restoration. Guidelines recommend failure of other appropriate pain modalities including medications prior to a TENS unit trial. Prior to TENS unit purchase, one month trial should be documented as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach, with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has undergone a TENS unit trial as outlined above and, unfortunately, there is no provision for modification of the current request to allow for a TENS trial. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested TENS is not medically necessary.