

Case Number:	CM14-0208787		
Date Assigned:	12/22/2014	Date of Injury:	11/20/2012
Decision Date:	02/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/12/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/12/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Internal Medicine, has a subspecialty in Rheumatology, and is licensed to practice in Maryland. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 38 year old male with date of injury 11/20/12. The mechanism of injury is not stated in the available medical records. The patient has complained of low back pain since the date of injury. He has been treated with epidural steroid injection, physical therapy, acupuncture and medications. MRI of the lumbar spine performed in 04/2013 revealed disc disease at L4-L5 and L5-S1 without nerve root compression. Objective: decreased and painful range of motion of the lumbar spine, absent right patellar reflex, right sciatic notch tenderness, tenderness to palpation of the lumbosacral spine. Diagnoses: lumbar sprain, lumbar radiculopathy, right sacroiliac joint disease. Treatment plan and request: epidural steroid injection lumbar/sacral.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Inject Spine Lumbar/ Sacral: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines ESI.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural steroid injections Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: This 38 year old male has complained of low back pain since date of injury 11/20/12. He has been treated with epidural steroid injection, physical therapy, acupuncture, and

medications. The current request is for epidural steroid injection lumbar/sacral. Per the MTUS guideline cited above, the following criteria must be met for an epidural steroid injection to be considered medically necessary: 1) Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electro diagnostic testing. 2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants) 3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) for guidance 4) If used for diagnostic purposes; a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections. 5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks. 6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session. 7) In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (Manchikanti, 2003) (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007) 8) Current research does not support "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or the therapeutic phase. The available medical records do not include documentation that meet criteria (1) above. Specifically, radiculopathy was not documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electro diagnostic testing. Based on the above MTUS guidelines and available provider documentation, epidural steroid injection lumbar/sacral is not indicated as medically necessary.