

Case Number:	CM14-0207690		
Date Assigned:	12/19/2014	Date of Injury:	05/25/2006
Decision Date:	02/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/13/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/11/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Tennessee, Mississippi

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 59-year-old male with a 5/25/2006 date of injury. The exact mechanism of the original injury was not clearly described. A progress report dated 10/10/14 noted subjective complaints of neck and low back pain. Objective findings included tenderness of the lumbar spine. Diagnostic Impression: lumbar strain and cervical strain, lumbar spinal stenosis. Treatment to Date: medication management A UR decision dated 11/13/14 denied the request for Norco 5/325 mg #30. There is no evidence that a signed pain agreement is on file at the provider's office and no evidence that a pain diary has been recommended and is being kept by the patient and reviewed by the prescriber. As such, the medical necessity of the ongoing use of Norco has not been clearly demonstrated.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 5/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 77-78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opiates Page(s): 78-81.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines do not support ongoing opioid treatment unless prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; are prescribed at the lowest possible dose; and unless there is ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. However, given the 2006 date of injury, the duration of opiate use to date is not clear. In addition, there is no discussion regarding non-opiate means of pain control, or endpoints of treatment. The records do not clearly reflect continued analgesia, continued functional benefit, a lack of adverse side effects, or aberrant behavior. Although opiates may be appropriate, additional information would be necessary, as CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines require clear and concise documentation for ongoing management. Therefore, the request for Norco 5/325 mg #60 was not medically necessary.