

Case Number:	CM14-0207534		
Date Assigned:	12/19/2014	Date of Injury:	01/10/2013
Decision Date:	02/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/07/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/11/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Practice, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

Patient had a date of injury on 1/10/2013. Patient injured her shoulder while pushing a box of oranges. Medications include tramadol, Celebrex, and omeprazole. Surgery includes: right shoulder surgery performed on 10/16/2013. Patient has had physical therapy and physiotherapy. Diagnosis includes: chronic right shoulder strain and a history of prior adhesive capsulitis of the right shoulder, status post right shoulder manipulation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

12 month rental of a transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS Unit Page(s): 116.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS Page(s): 114-116.

Decision rationale: According to guidelines TENS is not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option. Several published evidence-based assessments of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) have found that evidence is lacking concerning effectiveness. Criteria for usage is Documentation of pain of at least three months duration there is evidence that other

appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed. A one-month trial period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during this trial. Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage. A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted. A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary. According to the medical records there is no documentation of a trial and thus is not medically necessary.