

Case Number:	CM14-0207148		
Date Assigned:	12/19/2014	Date of Injury:	09/25/2009
Decision Date:	02/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/28/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/10/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Practice, and is licensed to practice in Florida. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if "(a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain." MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case, no objective evidence of functional improvement has been presented. There is also no documentation provided of a pain management contract or of frequent urine drug screens. Therefore, this request for Vicodin is not considered medically necessary.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

90 Norco 10-325mg with 1 refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for Use of Opioids Page(s): 110-115.

Decision rationale: In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if: "(a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has

improved functioning and pain." MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case, there is no objective evidence of functional improvement. This request for Norco is not considered medically necessary.

30 Soma 350mg with 1 refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antispasticity/Antispasmodic Drugs Page(s): 100, 97.

Decision rationale: In accordance with the California MTUS guidelines, Soma is a muscle relaxant and muscle relaxants are not recommended for the treatment of chronic pain. From the MTUS guidelines: "Recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic low back pain (LBP). Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence." Likewise, this request for Soma is not medically necessary.