

Case Number:	CM14-0206542		
Date Assigned:	12/18/2014	Date of Injury:	06/09/2011
Decision Date:	02/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/26/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/09/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine Rehab and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This patient sustained an injury on 6/9/11 while employed by [REDACTED]. Request(s) under consideration include Soma 350mg #30. Medications list Norco and Soma. Conservative care has included medications, therapy, and modified activities/rest. Report of 9/9/14 from the provider noted the patient with constant low back pain radiating to the lower extremities with associated numbness and tingling. Exam showed unchanged findings of decreased lumbar range of motion; positive bilateral SLR. Treatment included continued medications. Soma was previously authorized for final quantity for weaning on 9/22/14; the Soma was again requested and denied on 10/30/14. The request(s) for Soma 350mg #30 was non-certified on 11/26/14 citing guidelines criteria and lack of medical necessity.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Soma 350mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma) Page(s): 29.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines on muscle relaxant, Soma is not recommended for mild to moderate chronic persistent pain problems including chronic pain (other than for acute exacerbations) due to the high prevalence of adverse effects in the context of insufficient evidence of benefit as compared to other medications. Guidelines do not recommend long-term use of this muscle relaxant for this chronic injury of 2011. Additionally, the efficacy in clinical trials has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication or medical need for this treatment and there is no report of significant clinical findings, acute flare-up or new injury to support for its long-term use. There is no report of functional improvement resulting from its previous treatment to support further use as the patient remains unchanged. The Soma 350mg #30 is not medically necessary and appropriate.