

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0205153		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	12/17/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/23/1990
<b>Decision Date:</b>	02/06/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	11/24/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	12/08/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 61-year-old female with a 1/23/90 date of injury. At the time (11/13/14) of request for authorization for Ibuprofen 800mg #60 and Methadone 10mg #150, there is documentation of subjective (low back pain associated with right leg pain) and objective (decreased lumbar range of motion with pain and positive right straight leg raising test) findings, current diagnoses (failed back surgery syndrome), and treatment to date (medications (including ongoing treatment with Ibuprofen and Methadone)). Regarding Ibuprofen 800mg #60, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of Ibuprofen use to date. Regarding Methadone 10mg #150, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects; and functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of Methadone use to date.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Ibuprofen 800mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) Page(s): 67-68. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies documentation of moderate to severe osteoarthritis pain, acute low back pain, chronic low back pain, or exacerbations of chronic pain, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of NSAIDs. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a diagnosis of failed back surgery syndrome. In addition, there is documentation of pain. However, given documentation of ongoing treatment with Ibuprofen, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of Ibuprofen use to date. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Ibuprofen 800mg #60 is not medically necessary.

**Methadone 10mg #150:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Methadone; Opioids Page(s): 61-62; 74-80. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies documentation of Methadone used as a second-line drug for moderate to severe pain if the potential benefit outweighs the risk, and that Methadone is being prescribed by providers with experience in using it, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Methadone. In addition, MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines necessitate documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of opioids. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a diagnosis of failed back surgery syndrome. In addition, given documentation of ongoing treatment with NSAIDs, there is documentation of Methadone used as a second-line drug for moderate to severe pain. However, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and

there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. In addition, given documentation of ongoing treatment with Methadone, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of Methadone use to date. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Methadone 10mg #150 is not medically necessary.