

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0201896		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	12/12/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/20/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	01/28/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/31/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	12/02/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in Georgia. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is the patient presented with a work-related injury on April 20, 2010. On June 20, 2014 the patient complained of pain in the lumbar spine. The pain was rated an 8/10. The physical exam was significant for tenderness to palpation at the sacroiliac joints. The patient's medications included Voltaren, Neurontin, and Prilosec. MRI of the lumbar spine on August 12, 2010 revealed disc protrusion at L5 - S1 with contact of the bilateral S1 nerve roots, bilateral facet arthropathy with bilateral neural foraminal compression at L4 - L5 noted, left paracentral disc protrusion with is that bilateral neural foraminal compression at L3 - L4 and disc osteophyte with facet on the right and neuroforaminal compression on the left at L2 - L3. It was noted in the medical records that the patient was totally temporarily disabled. A claim was made for multiple medication and a transforaminal epidural steroid injection.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids  
Page(s): 79.

**Decision rationale:** Norco 10/325mg #120 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; therefore the requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Gabapentin 600mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antiepilepsy Drugs.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines AEDs.

**Decision rationale:** Gabapentin 600mg #120 with 2 refills is not medically necessary. Ca MTUS 17-19 Recommended for neuropathic pain (pain due to nerve damage). There is a lack of expert consensus on the treatment of neuropathic pain in general due to heterogeneous etiologies, symptoms, physical signs and mechanisms. Most randomized controlled trials (RCTs) for the use of this class of medication for neuropathic pain have been directed at post-herpetic neuralgia and painful polyneuropathy (with diabetic polyneuropathy being the most common example). There are few RCTs directed at central pain and none for painful radiculopathy. (Attal, 2006) The choice of specific agents reviewed below will depend on the balance between effectiveness and adverse reactions. Additionally, Per MTUS One recommendation for an adequate trial with gabapentin is three to eight weeks for titration, then one to two weeks at maximum tolerated dosage. (Dworkin, 2003) The patient should be asked at each visit as to whether there has been a change in pain or function. The claimant did not show improved function on her most recent office visit; therefore, the requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Dendracin lotion:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

**Decision rationale:** Dendracin Lotion is not medically necessary. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended, is not recommended". Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics are "recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (anti-depressants or AED)...Only FDA-approved products

are currently recommended. Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. The claimant was not diagnosed with neuropathic pain and there is no documentation of physical findings or diagnostic imaging confirming the diagnosis; therefore, the compounded mixture is not medically necessary.

**Fenoprofen 400mg #60: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67.

**Decision rationale:** Fenoprofen 400mg #60 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS guidelines page 67, NSAIDs are recommended for osteoarthritis at the lowest dose for the shortest period in patients with moderate to severe pain so to prevent or lower the risk of complications associated with cardiovascular disease and gastrointestinal distress. The medical records do not document the length of time the claimant has been on Fenoprofen. Additionally, the claimant had previous use of NSAIDs. The medication is therefore not medically necessary.

**Right transforaminal epidural steroid injection at L2-L4: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injections.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injection Page(s): 47.

**Decision rationale:** Right transforaminal epidural steroid injection at L2-L4 is not medically necessary. The California MTUS page 47 states "the purpose of epidural steroid injections is to reduce pain and inflammation, restoring range of motion and thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone is no significant long-term functional benefit. Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment, injections should be performed using fluoroscopy, if the ESI is for diagnostic purposes a maximum of 2 injections should be performed. No more than 2 nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks. No more than 1 interlaminar level should be injected at one session. In the therapeutic phase repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for 6-8 weeks, with the general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. Current research does not support a series of 3 injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 epidural steroid injections." The physical exam is consistent with radicular pain in the distribution for which the epidural is requested; however, there is lack of documentation of previous response to the epidural steroid injection. Additionally, there is lack of documentation of at least 4-6 weeks of failed conservative therapy including with physical therapy and medications including anti-inflammatory medications; therefore, the requested services is not medically necessary.

