

Case Number:	CM14-0200169		
Date Assigned:	12/10/2014	Date of Injury:	01/23/2012
Decision Date:	01/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/01/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Practice and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the ACOEM guidelines, an MRI of the lumbar spine is recommended for red flag symptoms such as cauda equina, tumor, infection, or uncertain neurological diagnoses not determined or equivocal on physical exam. There were no red flag symptoms. There was no plan for surgery. A consultation with a spine surgeon was pending. The request for an MRI of the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy qty: 6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS guidelines, therapy is recommended in a fading frequency. They allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine. The following diagnoses have their associated recommendation for number of visits. Myalgia and myositis, unspecified 9-10 visits over 8 weeks. Neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified were 8-10 visits over 4 weeks.

This case the claimant had undergone numerous sessions of physical therapy well exceeding the amount recommended by the guidelines. There was no indication that the claimant is unable to perform additional exercises at home. The additional six sessions of physical therapy requested is not medically necessary.