

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0199132		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	12/09/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/03/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	01/28/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/27/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	11/26/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Medicine, Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

27y/o male injured worker with date of injury 3/3/14 with related low back pain. Per progress report dated 9/23/14, the injured worker complained of intermittent low back pain rated 1/10 with radiating pain to the buttocks down to lower extremities. He also complained of heaviness in the bilateral lower extremities. Physical exam was unremarkable, noting improvement in lumbar spine range of motion and lower extremity motor strength. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, and medication management. The date of UR decision was 10/27/14.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**X-Force Stimulator unit with garments purchase:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous Electrotherapy Page(s): 114-116,120. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: <http://www.sevensesadm.com/force-stimulator/>.

**Decision rationale:** Per internet search, the X-Force STIM unit combines TENS with transcutaneous electrical joint stimulation (TEJS). The MTUS is silent on the use of TEJS. The

MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines do not recommend TENS as a primary treatment modality, but support consideration of a one-month home-based TENS trial used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration. Furthermore, criteria for the use of TENS includes pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed, and a documented one-month trial period stating how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function. The documentation submitted for review does not indicate that the injured worker has failed conservative pain modalities, as evidenced by subjective pain rating 1/10. As such the request is considered not medically necessary.