

Case Number:	CM14-0198064		
Date Assigned:	12/08/2014	Date of Injury:	11/02/2013
Decision Date:	01/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/06/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/25/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Orthopedic Surgery and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of cervical collars. Per ODG, Neck section, cervical collars, post-operative (fusion), "Not recommended after single-level anterior cervical fusion with plate. The use of a cervical brace does not improve the fusion rate or the clinical outcomes of patients undergoing single-level anterior cervical fusion with plating. Plates limit motion between the graft and the vertebra in anterior cervical fusion. Still, the use of cervical collars after instrumented anterior cervical fusion is widely practiced. This RCT found there was also no statistically significant difference in any of the clinical measures between the Braced and Nonbraced group. The SF-36 Physical Component Summary, NDI, neck, and arm pain scores were similar in both groups at all time intervals and showed statistically significant improvement when compared with preoperative scores. There was no difference in the proportion of patients working at any time point. Independent radiologists reported higher rates of fusion in the non-braced group over all time intervals, but those were not statistically significant." As the guidelines do not support bracing postoperatively, the determination is for non-certification.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM, Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS Acupuncture Medical Treatment Guidelines, pages 8&9. Frequency and duration of acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation may be performed as follows: (1) Time to produce functional improvement: 3 to 6 treatments.(2) Frequency: 1 to 3 times per week.(3) Optimum duration: 1 to 2 months.(d) Acupuncture treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented as defined in Section 9792.20(e). The guidelines specifically report 3-6 treatments initially. As the request is for an unspecified number of visits, the Acupuncture is not medically necessary.