

Case Number:	CM14-0197538		
Date Assigned:	12/05/2014	Date of Injury:	12/30/2009
Decision Date:	01/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/19/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/25/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Neurology; has a subspecialty in Neuromuscular Medicine and is licensed to practice in New Jersey. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 67-year-old diabetic man who sustained a work-related injury on December 30, 2009. Subsequently, the patient developed chronic low back pain. According to a progress report dated October 15, 2014, the patient complained of low back pain that radiates to posterior thighs. The patient rated his pain at 8/10 without medications and 4/10 with medications. The patient complained of give away weakness in bilateral legs. Physical examination revealed positive spasms at L4-L5. Sensation was decreased in bilateral posterior thighs. Straight leg raise was positive bilaterally at 60 degrees. Strength was decreased FHL. Lumbar scans showed marked lateral scoliosis, L3-4 pedicle screws, fused, L4-5 severe stenosis with 7 mm lateral subluxation with right L4 neural foramina compression. CT myelogram: L4-5, L5-S1 severe stenosis. The patient was diagnosed with status post L3-4 fusion (repeat surgery was planned and authorized), chronic lumbar radiculopathy, and lumbar post laminectomy syndrome. The provider requested authorization for Butrans, Ambien, and percocet.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

4 Butrans 20Mcg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 78-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules:(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy.(b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function.(c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. According to MTUS guidelines, Butrans is recommended to treat opiate addiction. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up or absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with previous use of opioids. The patient continued to have significant pain with Butrans. There is no justification to use multiple opioids. There is no recent documentation of recent opioid addiction. Therefore, the request for Butrans 20mcg #4 is not medically necessary.

30 Ambien 10mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG Non-Benzodiazepine sedative-hypnotics (Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists (<http://worklossdatainstitute.verioiponly.com/odgtwc/pain.htm>

Decision rationale: According to ODG guidelines, non-Benzodiazepine sedative-hypnotics (Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists) are first-line medications for insomnia. This class of medications includes Zolpidem (Ambien and Ambien CR), Zaleplon (Sonata), and Eszopicolone (Lunesta). Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists work by selectively binding to type-1 benzodiazepine receptors in the CNS. All of the benzodiazepine-receptor agonists are schedule IV controlled substances, which mean they have potential for abuse and dependency. Ambien is not recommended for long-term use to treat sleep problems. Furthermore, there is no documentation of the use of non-pharmacologic treatment for the patient's sleep issue. Therefore, the prescription of Ambien 10mg #30 is not medically necessary.

180 Percocet 10/325mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79..

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy.(b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function.(c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. The patient has been using opioids since at least August 2011 without recent documentation of full control of pain and without any documentation of functional or quality of life improvement. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of narcotics. Therefore the prescription of Percocet 10/325mg #180 is not medically necessary.