

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0196268		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	12/04/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	11/07/1997
<b>Decision Date:</b>	01/29/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	11/05/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	11/24/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 57-year-old female with an 11/7/97 date of injury. At the time (9/10/14) of request for authorization for nerve root block: (SNRB/TFESI) lumbar: right L5, right S1, there is documentation of subjective (low back pain) and objective (2+ patellar and Achilles reflexes, no motor deficits, tenderness over the right lumbar facets, positive right straight leg raising test, and decreased range of motion) findings, current diagnoses (lumbosacral neuritis, lumbar/lumbosacral disc degenerative disease, and postlaminectomy syndrome), and treatment to date (medications and previous epidural steroid injection (10/30/13)). Medical report identify that previous epidural steroid injection provided 90% pain relief. There is no documentation of pain relief for six to eight weeks, as well as decreased need for pain medications, and functional response following previous injection.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Nerve Root Block: (SNRB/TFEST) lumbar: right L5, right S1: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG ESIs

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 300. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Epidural Steroid Injections (ESIs).

**Decision rationale:** MTUS reference to ACOEM guidelines identifies documentations of objective radiculopathy in an effort to avoid surgery as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of epidural steroid injections. ODG identifies documentation of at least 50-70% pain relief for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year, as well as decreased need for pain medications, and functional response as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of additional epidural steroid injections. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of lumbosacral neuritis, lumbar/lumbosacral disc degenerative disease, and postlaminectomy syndrome. In addition, there is documentation of a previous epidural steroid injection. However, despite documentation that previous epidural steroid injection with 90% pain relief, there is no documentation of pain relief for six to eight weeks, as well as decreased need for pain medications, and functional response following previous injection. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for nerve root block: (SNRB/TFESI) lumbar: right L5, right S1 is not medically necessary.