

Case Number:	CM14-0194390		
Date Assigned:	12/02/2014	Date of Injury:	10/01/2009
Decision Date:	01/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/12/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/20/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of 10/1/09. A utilization review determination dated 11/7/14 recommends non-certification of home health care visits 2 x 6 weeks. 10/22/14 medical report identifies pain in the neck, shoulders, wrists, hands, and low back, as well as, depression, anxiety, sleep difficulty, and stomach upset decreased with omeprazole. On exam, there is limited ROM, positive impingement testing, and positive Tinel's at the cubital and carpal tunnels. Home health care is requested to provide the patient with caregiving and to help with dressing, as the patient lives alone.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Peer to peer for Home Health Care visits 2 x 6 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Home health services

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Home health services Page(s): 51.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for home health care, California MTUS states that home health services are recommended only for otherwise recommended medical treatment for

patients who are homebound, and medical treatment does not include homemaker services like shopping, cleaning, and laundry, and personal care given by home health aides like bathing, dressing, and using the bathroom when this is the only care needed. Within the documentation available for review, there is no documentation that the patient is homebound and in need of specialized home care (such as skilled nursing care, physical, occupational, or speech-language therapy) in addition to home health care. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested home health care is not medically necessary.