

Case Number:	CM14-0194025		
Date Assigned:	12/01/2014	Date of Injury:	05/29/2002
Decision Date:	01/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/13/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/19/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 49-year-old female with a 5/29/02 date of injury. At the time (10/31/14) of request for authorization for Oxycodone 15mg #30, there is documentation of subjective (low back pain and right thigh pain) and objective (decreased deep tendon reflexes of the lower extremity) findings, current diagnoses (lumbar degenerative disc disease and lumbar spasm), and treatment to date (medications (including ongoing treatment with Ibuprofen, Norco, and Soma)). There is no documentation of moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock analgesic is needed for an extended period of time and the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycodone 15mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for chronic pain.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids; Oxycodone Page(s): 74-80, 92.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies documentation of moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock analgesic is needed for an extended period of time, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Oxycontin. In addition, MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Oxycontin. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of lumbar degenerative disc disease and lumbar spasm. However, there is no documentation of moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock analgesic is needed for an extended period of time. In addition, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Oxycodone 15mg #30 is not medically necessary.