

Case Number:	CM14-0191877		
Date Assigned:	11/25/2014	Date of Injury:	05/09/2013
Decision Date:	02/25/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/04/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/17/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabn, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of May 9, 2013. A utilization review determination dated November 4, 2014 recommends noncertification for an MRI of the lumbar spine. Noncertification was recommended due to lack of objective findings or red flag diagnoses. A report dated August 28, 2014 identifies subjective complaints of increased low back pain and anxiety. No physical examination is listed. No diagnoses are listed. The treatment plan recommends a lumbar MRI, psych consult, and continue physical therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Page(s): 303-304, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303-304. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back Chapter, MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging).

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for lumbar MRI, Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines state that unequivocal objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging in patients who do not respond to treatment and would consider surgery an option. When the neurologic examination is less clear, however, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction should be obtained before ordering an imaging study. ODG states that MRIs are recommended for uncomplicated low back pain with radiculopathy after at least one month of conservative therapy. Within the documentation available for review, there is no identification of any objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic exam. Additionally, there is no statement indicating what medical decision-making will be based upon the outcome of the currently requested MRI. Furthermore, there is no documentation of recently failed conservative treatment directed towards the patient's current complaints. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested lumbar MRI is not medically necessary.