

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0191863		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	11/25/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	07/20/2004
<b>Decision Date:</b>	01/20/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/31/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	11/17/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesia, has a subspecialty in Acupuncture & Pain Medicine, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

64y/o male injured worker with date of injury 7/20/04 with related low back pain. Per progress report dated 10/14/14, the injured worker complained of low back pain with radiation to the left lower extremity into the foot. He rated his pain 7/10. Per physical exam, the injured worker had an antalgic gait. He had slight paralumbar muscle spasm and guarding greater on the left. Straight leg raise test was positive on the left. The date of UR decision was 10/30/14.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Oxycontin 20mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78, 92.

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines p78 regarding ongoing management of opioids "Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: Pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the '4 As' (Analgesia, activities of

daily living, adverse side effects, and any aberrant drug-taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. "Review of the available medical records reveals no documentation to support the medical necessity of Oxycontin nor any documentation addressing the '4 A's' domains, which is a recommended practice for the on-going management of opioids. Specifically, the notes do not appropriately review and document pain relief, functional status improvement, appropriate medication use, or side effects. The MTUS considers this list of criteria for initiation and continuation of opioids in the context of efficacy required to substantiate medical necessity, and they do not appear to have been addressed by the treating physician in the documentation available for review. It was noted per the latest progress report that the injured worker was becoming tolerant to opioids. Efforts to rule out aberrant behavior (e.g. CURES report, UDS, opiate agreement) are necessary to assure safe usage and establish medical necessity. It was noted that the injured worker had undergone random urine tox screen and the have been appropriate, however, UDS reports were not included in the documentation. As MTUS recommends to discontinue opioids if there is no overall improvement in function, medical necessity cannot be affirmed.