

Case Number:	CM14-0187807		
Date Assigned:	11/18/2014	Date of Injury:	06/04/2013
Decision Date:	01/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/11/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Orthopedic Surgery, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 61-year-old male with a 6/4/13 date of injury. At the time (10/17/14) of the Decision for outpatient left knee arthroscopic partial medial and lateral meniscectomy, there is documentation of subjective (bilateral knee pain left greater than right) and objective (medial joint line tenderness in both knees) findings, current diagnoses (neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified), and treatment to date (medications). There is no documentation of clear evidence of a meniscus tear; symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion); clear signs of a bucket-handle tear on examination (tenderness over the suspected tear but not over the entire joint line, and perhaps lack of full passive flexion); and consistent findings on MRI.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Outpatient left knee arthroscopic partial medial and lateral meniscectomy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 344-345. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee, Meniscectomy

Decision rationale: MTUS reference to ACOEM Guidelines identifies that arthroscopic partial meniscectomy usually has a high success rate for cases in which there is clear evidence of a meniscus tear; symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion); clear signs of a bucket-handle tear on examination (tenderness over the suspected tear but not over the entire joint line, and perhaps lack of full passive flexion); and consistent findings on MRI, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of meniscectomy. ODG identifies documentation of conservative care (Physical therapy OR Medication OR Activity modification), at least two symptoms (Joint pain OR Swelling OR Feeling of give way OR Locking, clicking, or popping), at least two findings (Positive McMurray's sign OR Joint line tenderness OR Effusion OR Limited range of motion OR Locking, clicking, or popping OR Crepitus), and imaging findings (Meniscal tear on MRI), as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of meniscectomy. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified. In addition, there is documentation of conservative care (medications), at least one symptom (joint pain), and at least one finding (joint line tenderness). However, there is no documentation of clear evidence of a meniscus tear; symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion); clear signs of a bucket-handle tear on examination (lack of full passive flexion); and consistent findings on MRI. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for outpatient left knee arthroscopic partial medial and lateral meniscectomy is not medically necessary.