

Case Number:	CM14-0186887		
Date Assigned:	11/14/2014	Date of Injury:	08/23/2002
Decision Date:	01/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/20/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/10/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 47-year-old male with an 8/23/02 date of injury. At the time (9/26/14) of the request for authorization for [REDACTED] weight loss program and 1 year gym membership, there is documentation of subjective (pain in the dorsal aspect of his right foot, continued pain in the lower back, he notes stiffness in his back, radiating pain extending to both lower extremities with weakness of both lower extremities, and numbness and tingling in both lower extremities) and objective (minimal flexion and extension demonstrated, tenderness is present over the paravertebral musculature with spasm present, and decreased sensation noted to the right thigh) findings, current diagnoses (herniated disk, lumbar spine), and treatment to date (exercise program, TENS unit, and medication). Regarding [REDACTED] weight loss program, there is no documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI** greater than or equal to 30 kg/m; or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia (HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL ; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL. Regarding 1 year gym membership, there is no documentation that a home exercise program with periodic assessment and revision has not been effective, there is a need for equipment, and that treatment is monitored and administered by medical professionals.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Weight Loss Program: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Snow V, Barry P, Fitterman N, Qaseem A, Weiss K. Pharmacologic and surgical management of obesity in primary care: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2005 Apr 5; 142

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: http://www.aetna.com/cpb/medical/data/1_99/0039.html

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG do not address the issue. Aetna identifies documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI** greater than or equal to 30 kg/m or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia (HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL ; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL, as criteria to support the medical necessity of a weight reduction program. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a diagnosis of herniated disk, lumbar spine. However, there is no documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI** greater than or equal to 30 kg/m; or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia (HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Weight Loss Program is not medically necessary.

1 year gym membership: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines-low back-lumbar and thoracic (acute and chronic)

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Exercise Page(s): 46. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Gym Membership

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies that exercise programs, including aerobic conditioning and strengthening, are superior to treatment programs that do not include exercise. ODG identifies documentation that a home exercise program with periodic assessment and revision has not been effective, there is a need for equipment, and that treatment is monitored and administered by medical professionals, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of gym membership. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of herniated disk, lumbar spine. However, there is no documentation that a home exercise program with periodic assessment and revision has not been effective, there is a need for equipment, and that treatment is monitored and administered by medical professionals. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for 1 Year Gym Membership is not medically necessary.