

Case Number:	CM14-0148008		
Date Assigned:	09/18/2014	Date of Injury:	03/02/2010
Decision Date:	06/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/03/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/11/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 67 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 3/2/10. He reported initial complaints of a fall injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervicalgia. Treatment to date has included chiropractic care; physical therapy; medications. Currently, the PR-2 notes dated 8/21/14 indicated the injured worker has a history of back and neck pain since a work accident several years ago. The injured worker would like physical therapy but was denied. He continues to have neck and back pain with no recent injuries or issues. His motor strength and tone is normal and has tenderness and limited range of motion, the cervical and lumbar spine reveal paravertebrals with spasm. The provider is requesting a Cervical Spine MRI.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

CERVICAL SPINE MRI: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 177-179.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Disorders, Introductory Material, Special Studies and Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations, page(s) 171-171, 177-179.

Decision rationale: Symptoms and clinical findings have remained unchanged for this chronic injury without new acute trauma, red-flag conditions, documented failed conservative trial, or flare-up of chronic symptoms and diagnoses already established to support for an updated imaging study. Per ACOEM Treatment Guidelines for the Neck and Upper Back Disorders, under Special Studies and Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations, states Criteria for ordering imaging studies include Emergence of a red flag; Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction; Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery; Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure. Physiologic evidence may be in the form of definitive neurologic findings on physical examination and electrodiagnostic studies. Unequivocal findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging studies if symptoms persist; however, review of submitted medical reports, including report from providers have not adequately demonstrated the indication for repeating the MRI of the Cervical spine nor identify any specific acute change or progressive deterioration in clinical findings to support this imaging study. When the neurologic examination is less clear, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction can be obtained before ordering an imaging study. The CERVICAL SPINE MRI is not medically necessary and appropriate.