

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Case Number: | CM14-0132736 | | |
| Date Assigned: | 08/22/2014 | Date of Injury: | 07/02/2013 |
| Decision Date: | 01/29/2015 | UR Denial Date: | 08/15/2014 |
| Priority: | Standard | Application Received: | 08/19/2014 |

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in Georgia. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 43-year-old presenting with a work-related injury on July 2, 2013. On November 26, 2013 the patient complained of intractable low back pain radiating to the left leg pain and intractable left knee pain. The provider recommended a lumbar and left knee MRI. On July 24, 2014 the patient continued to complain of chronic low back and left lower leg pain that was rated a 7/10 with intermittent numbness and tingling in the lower extremities. The patient also complained of left ankle pain. The patient received lens of patches. The patient reported that acupuncture was helpful in the past. Patient also reported that the TENS unit provided mild pain relief. The physical exam was significant for decreased range of motion in the lumbar and left me; tenderness in the lumbar, left knee and right upper extremity; decreased inversion and eversion in the left ankle, tenderness in the anterior ankle with range of motion. The provider recommended to continue taking naproxen, Omeprazole, Tramadol and Menthoderm. A claim was made for all medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Omeprazole 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDS. Page(s): 67.

Decision rationale: Omeprazole 20mg #60 is not medically necessary. CA MTUS does not make a direct statement on proton pump inhibitors (PPI) but in the section on NSAID use page 67. Long term use of PPI, or misoprostol or Cox-2 selective agents have been shown to increase the risk of Hip fractures. CA MTUS does state that NSAIDs are not recommended for long term use as well and if there possible GI effects of another line of agent should be used for example acetaminophen; therefore, the requested medication is not medically necessary.

Naproxen 550mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs..

Decision rationale: Naproxen 550mg #60 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS guidelines page 67, NSAIDS are recommended for osteoarthritis at the lowest dose for the shortest period in patients with moderate to severe pain so to prevent or lower the risk of complications associate with cardiovascular disease and gastrointestinal distress. The medical records do no document the length of time the claimant has been on Naproxen. Additionally, the claimant had previous use of NSAIDs. The medication is therefore not medically necessary.

Menthoderm 120gm #1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics. Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: Menthoderm 120gm #1 is not medically necessary. Menthoderm contains methyl salicylate 28 percent and menthol 16 percent. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended, is not recommended". Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics such as Methyl Salicylate, is indicated for Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment. It is also recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics are " recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (anti-depressants or AED)...Only FDA-approved products are currently recommended. Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. The claimant was not diagnosed with neuropathic pain and there is no documentation of physical findings or diagnostic imaging confirming the diagnosis; therefore, the compounded mixture is not medically necessary. The

request was not specific as to what area the compound cream will be used. Additionally, there is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs and Menthol for treatment of pain associated with the spine, hip or shoulder; therefore compounded topical cream is not medically necessary.

Tramadol/APAP 37.5/325mg #90 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids. Page(s): 83.

Decision rationale: Tramadol/APAP 37.5/325mg #90 with 2 refills is not medically necessary. Ultram is Tramadol. Tramadol is a centrally- acting opioid. Per MTUS page 83, opioids for osteoarthritis is recommended for short-term use after failure of first line non-pharmacologic and medication option including Acetaminophen and NSAIDS. Additionally, Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. In fact, the claimant continued to report pain. Given Tramadol is a synthetic opioid, it's use in this case is not medically necessary. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function or return to work with this opioid and all other medications.

TENS patch x 4: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS, Chronic Pain (Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines DME Page(s): 114.

Decision rationale: TENS Patch x 4 is not medically necessary. Page 114 of MTUS states that a one month home-based TENs trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option, if used as an adjunct to an evidence based functional restoration program. As it relates to this case TENS unit was recommended as solo therapy and not combined with an extensive functional restoration program. Additionally, the patient reported only mild relief with previous use of the TENs unit. Per CA MTUS, TENS unit is not medically necessary as solo therapy.