

Case Number:	CM14-0099858		
Date Assigned:	09/16/2014	Date of Injury:	11/16/2011
Decision Date:	10/15/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/30/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 59-year-old male with an 11/16/11 date of injury. At the time (5/19/14) of request for authorization for Norco 7.5/325 mg #60, there is documentation of subjective (bilateral knee pain with weakness, low back pain with radicular symptoms, and difficulty performing activities of daily living) and objective (bilateral knee tenderness to palpation over the medial joint line and patellofemoral joint with positive grind test; and decreased lumbar range of motion) findings, current diagnoses (bilateral knee sprain, patellofemoral arthritis, and lumbar sprain/strain), and treatment to date (ongoing therapy with Norco since at least 10/18/13 with decreased pain levels and increased activities of daily living). There is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 7.5/325 mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Page(s): 74-80. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines necessitate documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of opioids. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of knee sprain, patellofemoral arthritis, and lumbar sprain/strain. In addition, given documentation of ongoing treatment with Norco since at least 10/18/13 with decreased pain levels and increased activities of daily living, there is documentation of functional benefit or improvement as an increase in activity tolerance as a result of use of Norco. However, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Norco 7.5/325 mg #60 is not medically necessary.