

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0099734		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/05/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/02/2011
<b>Decision Date:</b>	10/29/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/17/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/30/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesia, has a subspecialty in Acupuncture and Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This case involves a 61 year old male injured worker with date of injury 1/2/11 with related leg pain. Per progress report dated 5/13/14, he reported pain levels 6-8/10 that were reduced to 3/10 with medication. He stated that the addition of Norco at night made a significant difference in decreasing his leg pain and allowed him to sleep. He was status post arthroscopic surgery and total knee replacement. Treatment to date has included surgery, physical therapy, and medication management. The date of UR decision was 6/17/14. The date of UR decision was 6/17/14.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325 #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids; Opioids Ongoing Management.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines OPIOIDS Page(s): 78, 91.

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines page 78 regarding on-going management of opioids "Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: Pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug

related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the '4s' (Analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and any aberrant drug-taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs." Review of the available medical records reveals neither insufficient documentation to support the medical necessity of Norco nor sufficient documentation addressing the '4 A's' domains, which is a recommended practice for the on-going management of opioids. Specifically, the notes do not appropriately review and document functional status improvement, appropriate medication use, or side effects. The MTUS considers this list of criteria for initiation and continuation of opioids in the context of efficacy required to substantiate medical necessity, and they do not appear to have been addressed by the treating physician in the documentation available for review. The documentation did state that the medication helped reduce pain and allowed the injured worker to sleep; however, no specifics regarding functional improvement were documented. Furthermore, efforts to rule out aberrant behavior (e.g. CURES report, urine drug screening, opiate agreement) are necessary to assure safe usage and establish medical necessity. There is no documentation comprehensively addressing this concern in the records available for my review. As MTUS recommends discontinuing opioids if there is no overall improvement in function; therefore, this request is not medically necessary.