

Case Number:	CM14-0082027		
Date Assigned:	07/21/2014	Date of Injury:	03/04/2014
Decision Date:	10/15/2014	UR Denial Date:	05/30/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/03/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in General Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in Indiana. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 40-year-old male with date of injury of 3/14/2014. A review of the medical records indicate that the patient is undergoing treatment for cervical radiculitis, and cervical, thoracic and lumbar sprain and strain. Subjective complaints include pain in his neck and upper and lower back rated at 5/10 with some radiation to upper and lower extremities. Objective findings include decreased range of motion in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine and pain upon palpation of paraspinals. Treatment has included chiropractic sessions, and physical therapy. The utilization review dated 5/30/2014 partially-certified acupuncture quantity 6 and an MRI of the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture two (2) times six (6) for lumbar and cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Acupuncture

Decision rationale: MTUS "Acupuncture Medical Treatment Guidelines" clearly state that "acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery." The medical documents did not provide detail regarding patient's increase or decrease in pain medication. Further, there was no evidence to support that this treatment would be utilized as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) does not recommend acupuncture for acute low back pain, but "may want to consider a trial of acupuncture for acute LBP if it would facilitate participation in active rehab efforts." The initial trial should "3-4 visits over 2 weeks with evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 8-12 visits over 4-6 weeks (Note: The evidence is inconclusive for repeating this procedure beyond an initial short course of therapy.)" There is no evidence provided that indicates the patient received acupuncture before or that the acupuncture sessions are being used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation or surgical intervention. Additionally, the request for 12 initial sessions is in excess of the recommended trial by ODG. As such, the request for acupuncture twice a week for six weeks is not medically necessary.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 182.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 177,182. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back, Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) ACOEM states "Criteria for ordering imaging studies are: Emergence of a red flag, Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction, Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery and Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure". ODG states, "Not recommended except for indications list below. Patients who are alert,

Decision rationale: The MTUS/ACOEM Guidelines states "Criteria for ordering imaging studies are: Emergence of a red flag, Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction, Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery and Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure". The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) states, "Not recommended except for indications list below. Patients who are alert, have never lost consciousness, are not under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, have no distracting injuries, have no cervical tenderness, and have no neurologic findings, do not need imaging...." The treating physician has not provided evidence of red flags to meet the MTUS/ACOEM and ODG Guidelines. As such the request for MRI of the cervical spine, non-contrast is not medically necessary.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the thoracic spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 287-315. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging)

Decision rationale: MTUS and ACOEM recommend MRI, in general, for low back pain when "cauda equine, tumor, infection, or fracture are strongly suspected and plain film radiographs are negative, MRI test of choice for patients with prior back surgery" ACOEM additionally recommends against MRI for low back pain "before 1 month in absence of red flags". The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) states, "Imaging is indicated only if they have severe progressive neurologic impairments or signs or symptoms indicating a serious or specific underlying condition, or if they are candidates for invasive interventions. Immediate imaging is recommended for patients with major risk factors for cancer, spinal infection, cauda equina syndrome, or severe or progressive neurologic deficits. Imaging after a trial of treatment is recommended for patients who have minor risk factors for cancer, inflammatory back disease, vertebral compression fracture, radiculopathy, or symptomatic spinal stenosis. Subsequent imaging should be based on new symptoms or changes in current symptoms." The medical notes provided did not document (physical exam, objective testing, or subjective complaints) any red flags, significant worsening in symptoms or other findings suggestive of the pathologies outlined in the above guidelines. As such, the request for MRI thoracic spine is not medically necessary.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 304.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 287-315. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging)

Decision rationale: MTUS and ACOEM recommend MRI, in general, for low back pain when "cauda equine, tumor, infection, or fracture are strongly suspected and plain film radiographs are negative, MRI test of choice for patients with prior back surgery" ACOEM additionally recommends against MRI for low back pain "before 1 month in absence of red flags". The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) states, "Imaging is indicated only if they have severe progressive neurologic impairments or signs or symptoms indicating a serious or specific underlying condition, or if they are candidates for invasive interventions. Immediate imaging is recommended for patients with major risk factors for cancer, spinal infection, cauda equina syndrome, or severe or progressive neurologic deficits. Imaging after a trial of treatment is recommended for patients who have minor risk factors for cancer, inflammatory back disease, vertebral compression fracture, radiculopathy, or symptomatic spinal stenosis. Subsequent imaging should be based on new symptoms or changes in current symptoms." The medical notes provided did not document (physical exam, objective testing, or subjective complaints) any red flags, significant worsening in symptoms or other findings suggestive of the pathologies outlined in the above guidelines. As such, the request for MRI of the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.