

Case Number:	CM14-0071962		
Date Assigned:	07/16/2014	Date of Injury:	10/13/2012
Decision Date:	11/25/2014	UR Denial Date:	04/30/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/19/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Practice and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

56 yr. old male claimant sustained a work injury on 10/13/12 involving the neck, knee and low back. He was diagnosed with lumbago and internal derangement of the knee. He had been on oral analgesics for pain and performing home exercises. A progress note on 4/7/14 indicated the claimant had a positive patella grind test and McMurray's signs on the right knee. The physician in addition requested physical therapy twice weekly for 6 weeks

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

PT (physical therapy) 2 x 6 (12) visits: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 299, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98-99.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS guidelines, therapy is recommended in a fading frequency. They allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine. The following diagnoses have their associated recommendation for number of visits. Myalgia and myositis, unspecified 9-10 visits over 8 weeks Neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified 8-10 visits over 4 weeks Reflex

sympathetic dystrophy (CRPS) 24 visits over 16 weeks According to the ACOEM guidelines: Physical and Therapeutic Interventions are recommended for 1 to 2 visits for education. This education is to be utilized for at home exercises which include stretching, relaxation, strengthening exercises, etc. There is no documentation to indicate that the sessions provided cannot be done independently by the claimant at home. Consequently, 12 physical therapy sessions are not medically necessary