

Case Number:	CM14-0070545		
Date Assigned:	07/16/2014	Date of Injury:	04/17/2013
Decision Date:	09/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	04/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/15/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 47 year-old patient sustained an injury on 4/17/13 while employed by [REDACTED]. The patient sustained a blunt force head trauma with post concussive syndrome, cognitive impairment, headaches and vertiginous symptoms, and cervical spine dysfunction. Report of 3/24/14 from the provider noted the patient with neck pain rated at 3/10; has been compliant with home exercise program; with noted depression and anxiety. Exam showed tenderness and hypertonicity of paracervical muscles; decreased range in all planes; spasm; positive Spurling's, foraminal compression, and shoulder depression; DTRs of 2+, mildly decreased motor of 5-/5 in upper extremity strength. The patient remained TTD. Conservative care has included medications, chiropractic treatment, physical therapy, and modified activities/rest. Request(s) under consideration include 8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program. Diagnoses include cervical disc displacement. Request(s) for 8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program was non-certified on 4/17/14 citing guidelines criteria and lack of medical necessity.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 15 Stress Related Conditions Page(s): 398. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision on the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Physical Therapy, pg. 98-99.

Decision rationale: This 47 year-old patient sustained an injury on 4/17/13 while employed by [REDACTED]. The patient sustained a blunt force head trauma with post concussive syndrome, cognitive impairment, headaches and vertiginous symptoms, and cervical spine dysfunction. Report of 3/24/14 from the provider noted the patient with neck pain rated at 3/10; has been compliant with home exercise program; with noted depression and anxiety. Exam showed tenderness and hypertonicity of paracervical muscles; decreased range in all planes; spasm; positive Spurling's, foraminal compression, and shoulder depression; DTRs of 2+, mildly decreased motor of 5-/5 in upper extremity strength. The patient remained TTD. Conservative care has included medications, chiropractic treatment, physical therapy, and modified activities/rest. Request(s) under consideration include 8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program. Diagnoses include cervical disc displacement. Request(s) for 8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program was non-certified on 4/17/14. Physical therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified physical therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the PT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and work status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for 9-10 visits of physical therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal PT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this chronic injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further physical therapy when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The 8 Additional physical therapy sessions with home exercise program is not medically necessary and appropriate.