

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0070052		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/14/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/07/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	08/22/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/28/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/15/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, and is licensed to practice in Nevada. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55-year-old female who was reportedly injured on January 7, 2009. The mechanism of injury is not listed in these records reviewed. The most recent progress note, dated April 14, 2014, indicates that there are ongoing complaints of pain radiating down the left leg to the left ankle. There was also complaints of anxiety and increasing panic attacks. Current medications include Pristiq and Xanax. The physical examination demonstrated tenderness along the left buttocks. There was decreased sensation at the posterior aspect of the left leg. Lower extremity muscle strength and reflexes were normal. There was decreased range of motion of the lumbar spine secondary to pain. Diagnostic imaging studies were not reviewed during this visit. Previous treatment includes an L5-S1 disk replacement performed in October 2011. A request had been made for Norco and omeprazole and was not certified in the pre-authorization process on April 28, 2014.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26. MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009).

**Decision rationale:** Norco (Hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid combined with acetaminophen. California Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule supports short-acting opiates for the short-term management of moderate to severe breakthrough pain. Management of opiate medications should include the lowest possible dose to improve pain and function, as well as the ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects. The injured employee has chronic pain; however, there is no clinical documentation of improvement in their pain or function with the current regimen. As such, this request for Norco is not medically necessary.

**Omeprazole 20mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 68 of 127.

**Decision rationale:** Prilosec (Omeprazole) is a proton pump inhibitor useful for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and is considered a gastric protectant for individuals utilizing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications. There is no indication in the record provided of a gastrointestinal disorder. Additionally, the injured employee does not have a significant risk factor for potential gastrointestinal complications as outlined by the California Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule. Therefore, this request for Omeprazole is not medically necessary.