

Case Number:	CM14-0055218		
Date Assigned:	07/07/2014	Date of Injury:	08/02/2013
Decision Date:	08/18/2014	UR Denial Date:	04/02/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/24/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 56-year-old female with a 8/2/13 date of injury. At the time (4/2/14) of request for authorization for Norco 10/325 every 6 hours, there is documentation of subjective (low back pain, moderately severe and extremely severe, constant, and exacerbated by activity) and objective (loss of lumbosacral lordosis, tenderness of the thoracolumbar spine and paravertebral musculature, restricted range of motion) findings, current diagnoses (lumbosacral sprain), and treatment to date (activity modification, chiropractic, physical therapy, and medications (including Norco since a least 10/13)). There is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; that the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and that there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects; and functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services a result of Norco use to date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 q 6 hours: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79-81.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids
Page(s): 74-80.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines necessitate documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of opioids. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnosis of lumbosacral sprain. However, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; that the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and that there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. In addition, given documentation of records reflecting prescriptions for Norco since at least 10/13, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services a result of Norco use to date. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Norco 10/325 every 6 hours is not medically necessary.