

Case Number:	CM14-0045741		
Date Assigned:	07/02/2014	Date of Injury:	05/13/2010
Decision Date:	09/25/2014	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/14/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 53-year-old female with a 5/13/10 date of injury, and status post two stage right total knee arthroplasty revision 6/14/13. At the time (4/8/14) of request for authorization for physical therapy 12 sessions for the right knee, there is documentation of subjective (right knee pain rated 4/10, left knee pain rated 5/10) and objective (range of motion 0 to 106 degrees, 4+/5 strength in the quadriceps, 3+/5 in the hamstring and hip adductors and abductors) findings, current diagnoses (status post revision right knee arthroplasty), and treatment to date (activity modification and post-op physical therapy x 30 visits). There is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services as a result of physical therapy completed to date and a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical Therapy 12 Sessions for the Right Knee: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines

(ODG) Knee, Physical Therapy (PT).

Decision rationale: The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of arthritis (Arthropathy, unspecified) not to exceed 24 visits over 10 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of status post revision right knee arthroplasty. In addition, there is documentation of 30 post-op physical therapy visits completed to date, which exceeds guidelines. However, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services as a result of physical therapy completed to date. In addition, there is no documentation of a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for twelve sessions of physical therapy for the right knee is not medically necessary or appropriate.