

Case Number:	CM14-0040905		
Date Assigned:	06/27/2014	Date of Injury:	02/19/2011
Decision Date:	12/15/2014	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/07/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 63-year-old female with a 2/19/11 date of injury. At the time (2/28/14) of request for authorization for Physical therapy 2 times a week for 4 weeks, there is documentation of subjective (ankle and neck pain) and objective (tenderness over the bilateral trapezius musculature and left talofibular ligament, restricted range of motion, and no edema in left ankle) findings, current diagnoses (cervical spine strain with radicular complaints and left ankle sprain), and treatment to date (medications). It cannot be determined if this is a request for initial or additional physical therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical Therapy 2 times a week for 4 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation (ODG) Neck & Upper back and Ankle & Foot, Physical therapy

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with

allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of ankle/foot sprain not to exceed 9 visits over 8 weeks and sprains and strains of the neck not to exceed 10 visits over 5 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of cervical spine strain with radicular complaints and left ankle sprain. In addition, given documentation of subjective (ankle and neck pain) and objective (tenderness over the bilateral trapezius musculature and left talofibular ligament and restricted range of motion) findings, there is documentation of functional deficits and functional goals. However, given documentation of a 2/19/11 date of injury where there would have been an opportunity to have had previous physical therapy, it is not clear if this is a request for initial or additional (where physical therapy provided to date may have already exceeded guidelines regarding a time-limited plan and there is the necessity of documenting functional improvement) physical therapy treatment. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Physical therapy 2 times a week for 4 weeks is not medically necessary.