

Case Number:	CM14-0040844		
Date Assigned:	08/27/2014	Date of Injury:	06/09/2007
Decision Date:	10/16/2014	UR Denial Date:	03/04/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/01/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in Nevada. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The records presented for review indicate that this 42 year-old male was reportedly injured on 6/9/2007. The mechanism of injury is noted as a direct impact of the right arm causing trauma. The most recent progress note, dated 2/22/2014, indicates that there were ongoing complaints of right hand pain. The physical examination demonstrated no swelling or redness of the right wrist. No recent diagnostic studies were available for review. Previous treatment includes TENS unit, medications, and conservative treatment. A request had been made for Tramadol 50 mg #90 and was not certified in the pre-authorization process on 3/4/2014.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

1 Retrospective request for 1 prescription of Tramadol 50mg #90 dispensed on 2/22/2014:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 82, 113 of 127.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS guidelines support the use of Tramadol (Ultram) for short-term use after there is been evidence of failure of a first-line option, evidence of moderate

to severe pain, and documentation of improvement in function with the medication. A review of the available medical records fails to document any improvement in function or pain level with the previous use of Tramadol. As such, the request is not considered medically necessary.